

Communication from Public

Name: Estella Suarez-Hamilton
Date Submitted: 07/01/2022 09:22 AM
Council File No: 20-0291
Comments for Public Posting: This Regular City Council meeting violates existing laws that require public meetings to be open to ALL members of the public for observation and to address the Council Members in real-time. The Requirement to hold Live Oral Public comment in person only, then the requirement to fulfill the condition of showing specific health information such as vax proof or documented proof of negative covid test is a violation of existing laws such as the Brown Act and US Constitution protected right to freedom of speech. ALL VOTES should be suspended until the ENTIRE public has access to these meetings to make live oral public comments. The following Existing laws are being violated. The Open Meetings Act, The Brown Act, the Unruh Civil Rights Act, and Bill of Rights, and The First and Fourteenth Amendments to the US Constitution. To quote AB No. 361 "Existing law prohibits a legislative body from requiring, as a condition to attend a meeting, a person to register the person's name, or to provide other information, or to fulfill any condition precedent to the person's attendance." "The legislative body shall not require public comments to be submitted in advance of the meeting and must provide an opportunity for the public to address the legislative body and offer comments in real-time. This subparagraph shall not be construed to require the legislative body to provide a physical location from which the public may attend or comment. To quote the Brown Act: 54953.3. Conditions to attendance at meetings " "A member of the public shall not be required, as a condition to attendance at a meeting of a legislative body of a local agency, to register his or her name, to provide other information, to complete a questionnaire, or otherwise to fulfill any condition precedent to his or her attendance." You are violating the law, and have been notified multiple times. Its past due time to open City Hall to EVERYONE and end the segregation vaccine mandates, end the unlawful medical checkpoints at the entrance to City Hall.

Communication from Public

Name: susan spinks
Date Submitted: 07/01/2022 07:40 AM
Council File No: 20-0291
Comments for Public Posting: Good Morning City Council and our Mayor:. Anyone at the meeting today or are all of you out celebrating the 4th of July holiday? Of course you are because the State of Emergency AKA NO FAULT EVICITON MORATORIUM aka Illegal Eminent Domain grab of properties owned by small landlords doesn't apply to you. Thanks for raising minimum wage though, maybe the tenants, who are not paying rent, can start to do so. Now, how about doing landlord occupancy, like the county has? Or would that be in violation of the 'state of emergency aka, etc? I have really creepy tenants in MY home who have covered all the windows. It took me forever to save up their relocation fee. But now they won't accept it because, well, they don't want to move and damn, they weren't even affected by the moratorium...just the "no fault." I guess that occurred to any of you to add or, for that matter, REVISE, the moratorium rules to exclude those NOT affected, Oh wait, that would have involved planning and working out an equitable moratorium. But, sadly you could not be bothered, so Landlords of small rental properties have no resource to whom we can appeal. LAHD certainly wants nothing to do with us. I was referred by Feuer's office to a clerk there who didn't even know, or apparently had read their website. I HAD to school her in HDLA rules and regs. My neighbor has a small number of properties and has lost 100k over the past 2 years, because his tenants won't pay rent and they are working! Your inability to moratorium with thought and planning for ALL of your constituents has been a disaster and a dereliction of duty of vast proportions. . I realize how busy all youall are, what with terms ending, mayor off to India, some members involved in fed busts, but surely you can manage a quorum and do what you were elected to do...which was not to hostage rentals, but to equitably serve ALL your constituents. I am using this public comment portal as often as I can but nothing changes, and I've been told by many other frustrated landlords, that no one even reads them...except for us in dire and terrible situations. But, happily, I just learned I can begin emailing LATimes and other news outlets to express my dissatisfaction with the 'emergency' which apparently does not apply to you, just property owners, among those the smaller unit ones and the mom&pops, who you have completely ignored and who do NOT have the resources our

larger colleagues do. Talk soon! Susan in Highland Park

Communication from Public

Name: Dana Frances Caruso

Date Submitted: 07/01/2022 08:53 AM

Council File No: 20-0291

Comments for Public Posting:

Dear Council Members,

July 1, 2022

I am writing regarding Item #48. I live in Encino and I have a 4-Plex in Toluca Lake. I have been suffering as a landlady since the State of Emergency started. I agree that we all have to do our part to help others, but this has gone on long enough. There is no need for you to declare Los Angeles in a State of Emergency. The schools are open, the masks are off, the courts are open and the restaurants are open. Please tell me what is the local emergency that you speak of? People will always get the flu and people will always get Covid, but voting to put Los Angeles in a state of Local Emergency is not warranted by the science or the Covid cases. Most people have been vaccinated and boosted and most Covid cases are no worse than the flu. Why demand that the public be vaccinated, if you are still keeping the city in a state of emergency? Doesn't the vaccination work in keeping us safe? There is no state of emergency. Enough is enough.

Your decision greatly effects landlords. Rents have been frozen since March of 2020. There are no lack of jobs in Los Angeles and anyone who wants to work can work. Why are you restricting landlords from raising rents on rent controlled buildings? We are already held to a higher standard. Please stop this unfair restriction, so I can maintain my building. I recently put on a new roof and installed a security gate to stop the homeless from stealing my tenants belongings. My costs keep going up, the city increased my RSO fees during the pandemic, so where is the consideration for landlords. I am not a wealthy landlord. I put my life savings into this building and now you restricting the rent increases that are greatly needed to maintain my property. I am asking you to be reasonable stop voting Yes on declaring Los Angeles in a state of emergency.

Thank you,
Dana Frances Caruso
818-489-5521